# Sports Media in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: From Algorithmic Automation to Ethical Challenges

## الإعلام الرياضي في عصر الذكاء الاصطناعي: من الأتمتة الخوارزمية إلى التحديات الأخلاقية

**Leila feguiri**

Mohamed Boudiaf University of M'sila (Algeria)

leila.feguri@univ-msila.dz

**Hamidi hayet**

University of Algiers 3(Algeria)

dr.hamidihayetitfc@gmail.com

*Abstract :*

*The rapid development of artificial intelligence technologies has profoundly transformed the structure and practices of sports media. Algorithms now play a central role in data collection, content generation, performance analysis, and audience personalization, significantly enhancing the speed, accuracy, and efficiency of sports coverage. However, these advancements also raise pressing ethical and professional concerns related to credibility, fairness, and algorithmic bias, especially in the growing reliance on generative content and automated reporting.*

*This study explores the structural and professional shifts in sports journalism driven by AI integration, highlighting the tension between automation and the foundational principles of responsible journalism. It further examines strategies to safeguard trust and credibility in digital sports media, emphasizing the need to strengthen journalists' digital and ethical competencies .*

الملخص:

التطور السريع لتقنيات الذكاء الاصطناعي قد غيّر بشكل عميق من بنية وممارسات الإعلام الرياضي. إذ أصبحت الخوارزميات تلعب دورًا مركزيًا في جمع البيانات، وإنتاج المحتوى، وتحليل الأداء، وتخصيص التجربة للجمهور، مما أسهم بشكل كبير في تعزيز سرعة التغطية ودقتها وكفاءتها. غير أن هذه التطورات تثير أيضًا مخاوف أخلاقية ومهنية ملحّة تتعلق بالمصداقية والإنصاف والانحياز الخوارزمي، خاصة مع الاعتماد المتزايد على المحتوى التوليدي والتقارير الآلية. تستكشف هذه الدراسة التحولات البنيوية والمهنية في الصحافة الرياضية الناتجة عن دمج تقنيات الذكاء الاصطناعي، مسلّطة الضوء على التوتر القائم بين الأتمتة والمبادئ الأساسية للصحافة المسؤولة. كما تبحث في الاستراتيجيات الكفيلة بحماية الثقة والمصداقية في الإعلام الرياضي الرقمي، مؤكدة على ضرورة تعزيز الكفاءات الرقمية والأخلاقية لدى الصحفيين، وإعادة النظر في أطر حوكمة الإعلام في عصر الذكاء الاصطناعي.

*Corresponding author*:   Leila feguiri      email:   leila.feguri@univ-msila.dz

## -Introduction

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into sports media has redefined the structure, practices, and ethics of sports journalism. From algorithmic automation in data collection and performance analysis to generative AI in automated reporting and personalized content delivery, the transformation is profound. Yet, these advancements raise pressing ethical, professional, and governance challenges concerning credibility, fairness, transparency, and algorithmic bias. This article provides an analytical overview of structural and professional shifts in sports media in the age of AI. It highlights the tension between technological efficiency and the foundational principles of journalism, exploring ethical dilemmas, empirical case studies, and strategies for safeguarding trust and accountability. The discussion emphasizes the necessity of strengthening journalists' digital and ethical competencies and rethinking media governance frameworks in a technologically saturated era.

Sports journalism has historically adapted to technological revolutions, from the advent of radio and television to the digital turn of online media. However, the current wave of AI-driven transformation marks an unprecedented paradigm shift. Algorithms now manage data collection, automate real-time statistics, generate content, and personalize news feeds for audiences worldwide. These functions significantly improve efficiency, speed, and precision in sports coverage (Pietraszewski et al., 2025, p. 5).

Yet, the benefits of automation come with critical ethical concerns: credibility of AI-generated narratives, risks of algorithmic bias, erosion of journalistic autonomy, and threats to audience trust. This article critically examines these dynamics by addressing five core dimensions: (1) structural transformations in sports media, (2) ethical and professional challenges, (3) case studies and real-world practices, (4) strategies for governance, and (5) a critical discussion on balancing efficiency with ethics.

## 1. Structural Transformations in Sports Media

## 1.1. Algorithmic Automation in Data and Content

AI has introduced algorithmic automation in performance analysis, real-time statistics, and match reporting. Computer vision and machine learning models such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks are now integral to player performance evaluation and predictive analytics (Pietraszewski et al., 2025, pp. 7–9). Automated content generation platforms can produce instant match recaps, highlights, and statistical breakdowns, reducing newsroom workloads and offering timely coverage.

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into sports media has led to a fundamental transformation in the ways data is processed and content is generated. Algorithmic automation the systematic use of algorithms to collect, analyze, and produce information—has become central to the contemporary sports communication ecosystem. It not only accelerates news production but also expands the scope of data-driven insights available to both journalists and audiences. However, this automation raises critical questions about accuracy, transparency, and the preservation of journalistic integrity in an increasingly mechanized media environment.

### 1.1.1. Data Collection and Analysis

Algorithmic automation has redefined how data is gathered and interpreted in sports coverage. Through automated data scraping, computer vision, and machine learning models, massive amounts of performance statistics can be processed in real time (Boyd & Crawford, 2012, p. 671). For example, optical tracking systems such as Hawk-Eye generate granular datasets on players' movements, which are then transformed into digestible narratives by algorithmic systems. This mechanization enables precision in reporting but also risks reducing complex athletic performances into numerical abstractions.

### 1.1.2. Automated Content Generation

One of the most visible impacts of algorithmic automation is the **automated generation of sports content**. Natural language generation (NLG) systems are increasingly used to produce match reports, performance summaries, and statistical updates (Carlson, 2015, p. 357). Companies such as Automated Insights and Narrative Science have partnered with major sports media organizations to create machine-written articles that mimic traditional journalistic formats. While these tools reduce production costs and increase efficiency, they also challenge notions of authorship and originality in journalism (Graefe, 2016, p. 11).

### 1.1.3. Personalization and Audience Engagement

Beyond the newsroom, algorithmic systems tailor sports content to audiences by analyzing consumption patterns, preferences, and behaviors. Recommendation algorithms filter news feeds, push notifications, and highlight reels, constructing individualized information environments (Napoli, 2019, pp. 78–79). This personalization enhances engagement but contributes to **filter bubbles** and fragmented audience experiences. As a result, fans may only encounter narratives that confirm their biases, raising concerns about inclusivity and diversity of perspectives.

### 1.1.4. Ethical and Professional Challenges

The increasing reliance on algorithmic automation presents multiple ethical challenges. First, automated systems may reproduce **algorithmic bias**, privileging certain athletes, teams, or leagues over others due to data selection and coding practices (Noble, 2018, pp. 83–85). Second, the opacity of algorithmic decision-making threatens journalistic accountability: audiences are often unaware of how data is processed and why specific stories are prioritized. Third, automation risks undermining the traditional role of journalists as mediators and critical interpreters of events.

## 1.1.5. Balancing Automation with Human Oversight

Scholars argue that algorithmic automation should complement rather than replace human journalistic judgment (Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 214). Editorial oversight is essential to verify facts, contextualize data, and maintain ethical standards in reporting. A hybrid model—where algorithms handle routine data-driven tasks while journalists focus on investigative, interpretive, and ethical functions—appears to offer the most balanced approach for the future of sports media.

## 2.1. Changing Roles of Journalists

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) and algorithmic automation has significantly reshaped the profession of journalism, particularly in sports media. Tasks that were once the exclusive domain of journalists such as data collection, statistical reporting, and real-time updates are now increasingly performed by automated systems. While this shift raises questions about the future of journalism, it also creates opportunities for redefining the journalist's role in the digital age.

Traditionally, journalists functioned as gatekeepers of information, responsible for selecting and framing stories (Shoemaker & Vos, 2009, p. 75). Today, however, algorithms often determine what audiences see, relying on metrics of engagement rather than editorial judgment (Napoli, 2019, p. 82). This transition reduces journalists' control over distribution but highlights their new role as curators and interpreters who provide context and ethical oversight.

Automation also changes newsroom labor. AI systems, such as Wordsmith, can produce thousands of sports summaries or financial reports in seconds (Clerwall, 2014, p. 521). Instead of rendering human journalists obsolete, these tools encourage them to focus on investigative reporting, narrative storytelling, and critical commentary—areas where human judgment remains irreplaceable (Carlson, 2015, p. 425). Thus, journalists are shifting from routine producers of content to overseers and collaborators with machines.

To remain relevant, journalists must acquire new skills and competencies. Digital literacy, data analysis, and algorithmic awareness are increasingly essential in the AI-driven newsroom (Lewis & Westlund, 2015, p. 28). At the same time, ethical competencies are crucial, as algorithmic systems often reproduce biases and lack transparency (Noble, 2018, pp. 85–86). Journalists must ensure that technological efficiency does not undermine fairness, inclusivity, or accountability.

Ultimately, the role of journalists is not disappearing but evolving toward a hybrid model where human expertise complements machine efficiency (Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 220). In sports media, this means relying on automation for speed and scale, while journalists provide interpretation, depth, and ethical oversight. By embracing this shift, journalism can maintain its credibility and adapt to the challenges of the AI era.

As automation grows, the journalist's role shifts from content creator to content curator and verifier. Reporters are increasingly tasked with editing,

contextualizing, and ethically reviewing AI-generated narratives (Olanipekun, 2025, p. 1345). This change demands hybrid competencies: technical literacy to understand algorithmic processes and ethical judgment to ensure quality and fairness.

## 1.3. Personalized and Interactive Fan Experiences

Recommendation systems powered by AI enhance fan engagement through hyper-personalized content streams. Sports marketing employs generative AI to create targeted advertisements, customized visual assets, and interactive campaigns (Lee, Choi, Jeong, & Ko, 2025, p. 3). While this expands commercial opportunities, it also risks reinforcing filter bubbles and excluding underrepresented sports or athletes.

## 2. Ethical and Professional Challenges

## 2.1. Credibility and Accuracy

One of the primary risks of AI in journalism is the potential for factual inaccuracies or "hallucinations" in generated texts. In sports media, this could mean erroneous match results, misreported player statistics, or fabricated narratives (Westerbeek, 2025, p. 7). The absence of reliable fact-checking mechanisms undermines audience trust.

content production, and audience personalization. While automation enhances speed and efficiency, it simultaneously raises pressing concerns about credibility and accuracy two foundational pillars of journalism. As AI-driven tools increasingly shape narratives and reporting practices, the challenge lies in ensuring that the efficiency of automation does not compromise the reliability of sports journalism.

### 2.1.1. The Importance of Accuracy in Sports Coverage

Accuracy has long been considered a hallmark of professional journalism. In sports media, this principle is especially critical, as real-time updates on scores, statistics, and player performance directly influence public perception and fan engagement (Kovach & Rosenstiel, 2014, p. 89). Errors in reporting, whether human or algorithmic, can spread rapidly in the digital ecosystem, undermining both audience trust and the credibility of media organizations. With automated systems producing vast amounts of content, the potential for unchecked inaccuracies increases.

### 2.1.2. Automation and the Risk of Errors

Algorithmic systems excel in speed but are not immune to errors. Automated reporting platforms, such as those employed by the Associated Press or ESPN, rely on structured data feeds to generate match summaries and performance reports. When data inputs are flawed—due to technical glitches, incomplete datasets, or human error in initial recording—the resulting automated articles reproduce and amplify these inaccuracies (Graefe, 2016, p. 18). Unlike human journalists, algorithms lack the capacity for critical verification, raising concerns about blind trust in machine-generated content.

### 2.1.3. Credibility in the Era of Generative Content

The credibility of journalism depends not only on factual accuracy but also on transparency, fairness, and accountability (Karlsson, 2011, p. 283). In sports media, the use of generative AI raises questions about authorship and authenticity: if content is machine-

written, who is accountable for potential errors or bias? Moreover, the "black box" nature of many AI systems makes it difficult for audiences to understand how conclusions are reached (Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 215). This opacity undermines confidence in the reliability of automated reporting.

### 2.1.4.Human Oversight and Ethical Responsibility

Scholars argue that AI-driven automation must be paired with human editorial oversight to preserve journalistic standards (Carlson, 2015, p. 427). Journalists play a vital role in fact-checking, contextualizing, and interpreting data, ensuring that information is both accurate and meaningful. This hybrid model allows algorithms to handle repetitive, data-driven tasks while journalists safeguard the credibility of sports reporting by maintaining ethical responsibility. In the age of AI-driven sports media, credibility and accuracy remain non-negotiable principles. While algorithmic automation offers speed and efficiency, its limitations in verification and accountability necessitate careful human oversight. The challenge for sports journalism lies in embracing the opportunities of AI without sacrificing trustworthiness. A balanced approach where technological innovation complements, rather than replaces, human judgment offers the most promising path for sustaining credibility in digital sports journalism.

### 2.2. Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination

Bias in training data often translates into biased outputs. Research on gender bias in sports reporting shows that female athletes receive significantly less coverage and are framed differently than male athletes (West, Bergstrom, & Bergstrom, 2019, p. 25). Algorithmic replication of such biases threatens fairness and inclusivity in sports journalism. Artificial intelligence (AI) plays an increasingly central role in sports media, from automated commentary to personalized fan experiences. However, the integration of AI is not free from social and ethical risks. A prominent concern is algorithmic bias, which occurs when automated systems systematically favor certain groups or reinforce discriminatory patterns. In the context of sports media, such bias may affect athlete representation, visibility, and audience perception, raising questions about fairness and inclusivity.

### 2.2.1.Sources of Algorithmic Bias

Algorithmic bias often stems from the datasets on which AI systems are trained. When historical sports coverage disproportionately highlights male athletes, elite clubs, or specific regions, algorithms learn and replicate these imbalances (Noble, 2018, p. 34). Bias can also emerge from flawed design choices, where programmers' assumptions unintentionally shape outputs. For example, algorithms trained primarily on men's sports statistics may undervalue the performance of women athletes, contributing to unequal visibility.

### 2.2.2. Discrimination in Athlete Representation

In sports journalism, biased algorithms risk reinforcing stereotypes. Research indicates that AI-powered content systems may reproduce discriminatory narratives by giving less prominence to minority athletes or by framing their achievements

differently from those of majority groups (Buolamwini & Gebru, 2018, p. 5). Similarly, language models may unintentionally favor dominant cultural narratives, thereby marginalizing athletes from underrepresented regions. This not only impacts athletes' public image but also perpetuates structural inequities within sports culture.

### 2.2.3. Ethical Implications for Sports Media

Algorithmic discrimination has direct implications for media credibility and audience trust. If automated systems consistently misrepresent or underreport certain groups, audiences may perceive sports journalism as biased and unreliable (O'Neil, 2016, p. 106). Beyond credibility, such practices undermine the ethical responsibility of journalism to promote fairness, inclusivity, and equal recognition. Sports media institutions therefore face a dual challenge: harnessing AI for efficiency while ensuring that coverage reflects diversity and equity.

### 2.2.4. Mitigating Algorithmic Bias

Scholars argue that human oversight is essential to counteract algorithmic bias (Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 219). Editorial teams must audit datasets, monitor automated outputs, and intervene when discriminatory patterns appear. Furthermore, developing more inclusive training datasets——featuring women's sports, minority athletes, and diverse linguistic sources——can reduce systemic inequities. Transparency in algorithmic decision-making processes also enhances accountability and public trust.

### 2.3. Transparency and Accountability

Transparency about the use of AI remains inconsistent. A study of Spanish newsrooms found that most media outlets failed to disclose whether AI tools were used in content creation (De-Miguel & Gutiérrez-Atala, 2025, p. 6). This lack of openness undermines professional accountability and complicates audience perceptions of credibility.

### 2.4. Professional Identity and Job Security

Automation challenges the professional identity of sports journalists by replacing routine reporting tasks with algorithms. While efficiency increases, creative storytelling and investigative journalism risk being sidelined (Al-Zoubi, Ahmad, & Abdul Hamid, 2024, p. 404). Concerns over job displacement and deprofessionalization remain central to debates about AI in media. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into sports media has sparked a profound transformation in the professional identity of journalists and the security of their jobs. Automated reporting systems, algorithmic analytics, and generative content tools are reshaping traditional newsroom structures. While AI offers unprecedented efficiency and innovation, it also challenges journalists' sense of identity and raises concerns about long-term career stability.

### 2.4.1. Evolving Professional Identity

Sports journalists have historically been defined by their ability to gather, interpret, and communicate stories with nuance, creativity, and ethical responsibility. However, the rise of automation is shifting this role toward supervision of algorithms, data

interpretation, and multimedia integration (Carlson, 2015, p. 358). Rather than being sole creators, journalists are increasingly curators and analysts of machine-generated content. This shift challenges traditional notions of journalistic authority and raises questions about what it means to be a professional journalist in the digital age.

### 2.4.2. Job Security and the Threat of Automation

The automation of routine tasks—such as generating match reports, updating statistics, and delivering real-time commentary—poses significant risks to job security. Studies suggest that automated news systems can replace entry-level reporting roles, leading to fears of redundancy among journalists (Fanta, 2017, p. 11). In sports journalism, where immediacy and accuracy are crucial, AI tools can outperform humans in speed, creating additional pressure on employment. Nevertheless, research indicates that human oversight remains essential for contextualization, critical judgment, and ethical framing (Graefe, 2016, p. 20).

### 2.4.3. Reconciling Identity and Security

To safeguard both professional identity and job stability, journalists must adapt by cultivating new competencies. Skills in data literacy, algorithmic accountability, and multimedia storytelling enhance their value in AI-driven newsrooms (Lewis, 2020, p. 78). Moreover, institutions need to establish governance frameworks that balance automation with human expertise, ensuring that journalists remain central to editorial decision-making. Strengthening ethical training is equally crucial, as it allows

professionals to differentiate their contributions from purely automated outputs.

AI-driven transformation in sports media presents a dual challenge: redefining professional identity while protecting job security. Automation does not necessarily eliminate journalism but reconfigures it, emphasizing collaboration between human expertise and algorithmic systems. By embracing new skills and reinforcing ethical standards, sports journalists can sustain their relevance in an increasingly automated landscape while preserving their role as trusted mediators of public information.

## 3. Case Studies and Empirical Evidence

### 3.1. Jordanian Newsrooms

conducted interviews with Jordanian journalists, finding that AI adoption raised concerns about data bias, privacy violations, and the absence of regulatory frameworks. Respondents emphasized the urgent need for ethical guidelines (Al-Zoubi ,2024, pp. 405–407).

### 3.2. Greek Journalism and AI

The rapid adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) across global media industries has also begun to shape journalism in Greece. While the country's media sector has historically struggled with issues of financial instability, political polarization, and declining public trust, AI presents both opportunities for innovation and challenges related to ethics, employment, and credibility.

### 3.2.1. Opportunities for Greek Journalism

AI technologies offer Greek news organizations tools for improving efficiency, accuracy, and personalization. Automated reporting systems can

generate routine content, such as sports updates and financial reports, enabling journalists to focus on investigative and interpretive tasks (Diakopoulos, 2019, p. 45). Additionally, natural language processing (NLP) allows Greek outlets to provide real-time translations, making local news more accessible to international audiences. Data-driven journalism, powered by machine learning, also offers potential for more in-depth reporting on Greece's socio-economic issues, including migration, tourism, and the environment.

### 3.2.2. Challenges and Ethical Concerns

Despite these advantages, Greek journalism faces significant hurdles in AI adoption. Financial constraints limit smaller outlets' ability to invest in advanced technologies, creating disparities between large media corporations and regional newspapers (Papathanassopoulos, 2020, p. 92). Furthermore, algorithmic bias may exacerbate existing political polarization, particularly given Greece's fragmented media landscape. The risk of misinformation and reduced editorial oversight in AI-generated content also threatens to undermine the credibility of journalism, a sector already facing public skepticism (Iosifidis & Boucas, 2015, p. 38)

examined Greek journalists' and academics' perceptions of AI, highlighting skepticism regarding AI-generated images and the risk of misinformation. The study underscored a divide between technophiles advocating innovation and traditionalists warning of ethical erosion (Kalfeli and Angeli ,2025, p. 12)

### 3.3. Generative AI in Sports Marketing

explored how fan responses to AI-generated sports advertising depend on awareness and perceived congruence. When audiences recognized content as AI-generated, trust decreased, signaling the importance of transparent disclosure. (Lee ,2025, p. 8)

### 3.4. Algorithmic Fandom

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) has redefined how sports fans engage with media, giving birth to the phenomenon of algorithmic fandom. This concept describes the way fan experiences are increasingly shaped by algorithms that recommend, filter, and prioritize sports content. While these technologies provide personalization and interactivity, they also raise concerns about filter bubbles, commercialization, and the commodification of fandom itself.

### 3.4.1. Personalization and Engagement

Algorithms play a central role in tailoring sports content to individual preferences. Recommendation systems on platforms such as YouTube, Twitter, and sports streaming services analyze viewing history and social interactions to deliver customized highlights, statistics, and commentary (Napoli, 2019, p. 58). For fans, this creates a sense of intimacy with teams and athletes, enhancing emotional investment and engagement. At the same time, algorithmic fandom allows media companies to monetize audiences more effectively by targeting advertising and merchandise.

### 3.4.2. Risks of Filter Bubbles and Fragmentation

Despite these benefits, algorithmic fandom risks narrowing exposure to diverse perspectives. By reinforcing fans' existing loyalties and interests, algorithms may create filter bubbles that limit discovery of alternative narratives or sports (Pariser, 2011, p. 15). This not only fragments audiences but may also intensify rivalries, as fans are continuously fed content that amplifies in-group identity and antagonism toward rival teams. In this sense, algorithmic fandom reshapes sports culture into an echo chamber of hyper-loyalty.

### 3.4.3. Commodification and Datafication of Fans

Algorithmic fandom also transforms fans into data commodities. Every click, view, or interaction becomes part of a dataset that media companies and sponsors use to refine engagement strategies (Couldry & Mejias, 2019, p. 97). While this strengthens market efficiency, it risks reducing fandom to a transactional relationship, where emotional passion is instrumentalized for profit. Such dynamics challenge the authenticity of sports culture and raise ethical concerns about surveillance and data privacy.

Algorithmic fandom highlights the double-edged role of AI in sports media. On one hand, it enhances personalization and engagement, deepening fans' connections to their teams. On the other, it risks fostering echo chambers, commodifying fans, and undermining cultural authenticity. For sports media institutions, the challenge lies in balancing technological innovation with ethical responsibility to preserve fandom as a space of shared cultural experience rather than pure algorithmic manipulation

analyzed how generative AI reshapes fan engagement. While AI enables hyper-personalized interactions, it risks amplifying inequalities by prioritizing commercially attractive athletes over marginalized groups. (Westerbeek , 2025, p. 11)

## 4. Strategies for Governance and Ethical Safeguards

### 4.1. Institutional Guidelines

Media organizations must develop internal policies clarifying acceptable AI use. Policies should include mandatory disclosure of AI-assisted content, verification protocols, and accountability frameworks (Olanipekun, 2025, p. 1348).

### 4.2. Regulatory Frameworks

Legal frameworks should address ownership of AI-generated content, licensing of training data, and privacy rights of athletes and fans. Regulation must ensure that AI applications align with broader democratic and ethical values.

### 4.3. Training and Capacity Building

Journalists need training in both technical literacy and ethical reasoning. University curricula should integrate modules on AI in journalism, algorithmic bias, and media law (Al-Zoubi et al., 2024, p. 408).

### 4.4. Diversity and Fairness Audits

Regular auditing of AI systems can help identify and mitigate bias. Ensuring representation of diverse genders, ethnicities, and less prominent sports in datasets is crucial for equitable reporting (West et al., 2019, p. 28).

## 5. Critical Discussion

The integration of AI into sports media creates a double-edged sword. On one hand, it boosts speed, efficiency, and interactivity, reshaping fan experiences and newsroom practices. On the other, it threatens ethical integrity, exacerbates inequalities, and challenges journalistic authority.

Journalism, unlike algorithmic reporting, is not merely about delivering statistics——it contextualizes events, highlights human stories, and upholds accountability. Human judgment remains indispensable in capturing the socio-cultural essence of sports that algorithms alone cannot grasp.

The future of sports media lies not in resisting AI, but in embedding it within strong ethical frameworks. Responsible integration requires transparency, human oversight, and continuous critical reflection.

## 6. Conclusion

Sports media in the age of artificial intelligence is at a crossroads. Algorithmic automation offers unprecedented opportunities for innovation and efficiency but raises critical concerns about ethics, fairness, and professional identity. To preserve credibility, the industry must:

1. Develop and enforce transparent AI use policies.

2. Build journalists' digital and ethical competencies.

3. Establish regulatory frameworks addressing ownership, bias, and privacy.

4. Conduct regular diversity audits to ensure inclusivity.

If these measures are embraced, sports journalism can leverage AI as a partner in innovation rather than a threat to integrity, ensuring that technological progress coexists with the enduring values of responsible journalism.

## 7. References

- Al-Zoubi, O., Ahmad, N., & Abdul Hamid, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence in newsrooms: Ethical challenges facing journalists. *Studies in Media and Communication, 12*(1), 401-409.

- Carlson, M. (2015). The robotic reporter: Automated journalism and the redefinition of labor, compositional forms, and journalistic authority. Digital Journalism, 3(3), 416–431. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2014.976412

- De-Miguel, R., & Gutiérrez-Atala, F. (2025). Are the media transparent in their use of AI? Self-regulation and ethical challenges in newsrooms in Spain. *Media and Communication Studies, 6*(3), 150-162.

- Diakopoulos, N. (2019). Automating the news: How algorithms are rewriting the media. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

- Graefe, A. (2016). Guide to automated journalism. Columbia University Academic Commons. https://doi.org/10.7916/D8FN19ZH

| Leila feguiri | Hamidi hayet |

- Kalfeli, P., & Angeli, C. (2025). The intersection of AI, ethics, and journalism: Greek journalists' and academics' perspectives. *Societies, 15*(2), 22-36.

- Karlsson, M. (2011). The immediacy of online news, the visibility of journalistic processes and a restructuring of journalistic authority. Journalism, 12(3), 279–295. https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884910388223

- Kovach, B., & Rosenstiel, T. (2014). The elements of journalism: What newspeople should know and the public should expect (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Three Rivers Press.

- Lee, J. L., Choi, S. H., Jeong, S., & Ko, N. (2025). Generative A.I. in sport advertising: Effects of source-message (in)congruence, model types and A.I. awareness. *International Journal of Sports Marketing and Sponsorship*. Advance online publication.

- Olanipekun, S. O. (2025). Ethical frameworks for AI in journalism: Balancing technological innovation and journalistic integrity. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 25*(1), 1342-1351.

- Pietraszewski, P., Terbalyan, A., Roczniok, R., Maszczyk, A., Ornowski, K., Manilewska, D., Kuliś, S., Zając, A., & Gołaś, A. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in sports analytics: A systematic review and meta-analysis of performance trends. *Applied Sciences, 15*(13), 7254-7270.

- West, J. D., Bergstrom, C. T., & Bergstrom, T. C. (2019). Quantifying gender bias in sports journalism using language models. *Journal of Sports Communication, 12*(1), 20-35.

Westerbeek, H. (2025). Algorithmic fandom: How generative AI is reshaping sports marketing, fan engagement, and the integrity of sport. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living, 7*, 1-15.