


European Union Policies Against Irregular Migration: Security, Political, and Legal Dimensions

Hemza Bakhti

University of M'sila, Algeria, hemza.bakhti@univ-msila.dz

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7128-7743>

Received: 03/12/2025

| *Accepted: 06/03/2026*

| *Published: 20/06/2026*

Abstract:

Irregular migration in the Mediterranean region presents a significant challenge confronting both the European Union and Mediterranean states. This article examines the multifaceted European strategies developed to address this complex phenomenon, analyzing security mechanisms, political cooperation frameworks, and international agreements. Through comprehensive review of institutional actors including Frontex, Europol, and EURFORCE, as well as bilateral and multilateral treaties with North African states, this study demonstrates that effective migration management requires coordinated security measures alongside political dialogue and development cooperation. The analysis reveals tensions between security-oriented approaches and human rights obligations, highlighting the need for balanced policy frameworks that respect international legal obligations while addressing legitimate security concerns.

Keywords: irregular migration; mediterranean routes; EU border security; human rights obligations.

This is an open access article under the terms of [the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Irregular migration has emerged as one of the European Union's most pressing policy challenges, particularly following the Arab Spring and subsequent waves of displacement from North Africa. The phenomenon represents not merely a humanitarian issue but a complex security, political, and economic challenge requiring coordinated multilateral responses ¹. Since the 1990s, the EU has progressively developed comprehensive strategies extending beyond traditional border security to encompass political cooperation with origin and transit countries, development initiatives, and international legal frameworks.

The Mediterranean corridor remains the primary irregular migration route to Europe, with thousands of migrants and refugees attempting the crossing annually ². This migration pressure, combined with security concerns regarding terrorism, human trafficking, and organized crime, has prompted the European Union to adopt increasingly sophisticated institutional and policy responses.

This article analyzes the European Union's multifaceted approach to combating irregular migration, focusing on three primary mechanisms: security-oriented measures, political cooperation frameworks, and bilateral/multilateral agreements. The analysis demonstrates that while security measures have become progressively more sophisticated, the underlying tension between border control imperatives and international humanitarian obligations remains largely unresolved.

1. Security Mechanisms in European Anti-Irregular Migration Strategy

A. Physical and Virtual Surveillance Systems

The European Union adopted comprehensive security procedures to address irregular migration challenges, incorporating both physical and virtual surveillance systems. These mechanisms represent a significant expansion of the EU's border management capacity.

1. Frontex: The European Border and Coast Guard Agency Institutional Development and Mandate

Frontex (Agenzia Europea della Guardia di Frontiera e Costiera) emerged from a process of incremental institutional development beginning with the 1992 Maastricht Treaty, which introduced the "Fortress Europe" concept aimed at completely restricting borders to migrants³. The agency was officially established in 2004 following the European Commission's implementation of the Hague Program (2004-2008), which outlined a five-year strategy for regulating and combating irregular migration.

The agency's headquarters is located in Warsaw (previously Varsavia, Bologna), and has grown substantially in both budget and operational capacity. By 2009, Frontex's annual budget reached €88.8 million, increasing to €87.9 million by 2010⁴. This budgetary commitment reflects the EU's prioritization of border security infrastructure.

Operational Capacity and Equipment

Frontex has been equipped with sophisticated technological systems and assets:

- 26 helicopters
- 22 fixed-wing aircraft
- 113 maritime vessels
- 476 trucks equipped with advanced detection systems including moving radars, thermal cameras, and biometric devices⁵

These resources enable unprecedented monitoring and control of the Mediterranean frontier.

Core Functions and Responsibilities

Frontex performs multiple critical functions within the EU's migration control framework:

Coordination of Border Security Cooperation. The agency coordinates security cooperation among member states across external borders through systematic information exchange, experience sharing, and harmonization of efforts against shared threats⁶. This coordination function has become increasingly important as irregular migration routes evolve.

Risk Analysis. Frontex conducts comprehensive risk assessments identifying threats to EU member states from irregular migration, organized crime, and other transnational criminal activities. These analyses provide member states with intelligence necessary for informed policy decisions ⁷.

Technical and Information Assistance. The agency provides technical support and informational assistance to member states, enhancing their capacity to protect external borders through border guard training, advanced technology deployment, and capacity building initiatives ⁸.

Rapid Intervention Teams. Frontex maintains standing rapid intervention capability for emergency border situations requiring immediate deployment to external frontier zones ⁹.

Major Operations and Outcomes

Operation Nautilus (2008) exemplified Frontex's operational approach, focusing on migrant flows from North Africa to Italy and Malta. However, the operation encountered significant obstacles due to disagreements among member states regarding responsibility for rescued migrants at sea, highlighting the legal and political complexities inherent in Mediterranean operations ¹⁰.

Operation Hera (2008), another significant Frontex initiative, achieved documented results by deterring approximately 4,373 West African migrants traveling toward the Canary Islands, demonstrating the deterrent effect of enhanced border monitoring ¹¹.

Technological Innovation: Smart Borders Initiative

In 2013, the European Parliament advanced Frontex capabilities by endorsing EUROSUR (European Border Surveillance System), a technically sophisticated monitoring system designed for early detection of irregular migration and organized crime through comprehensive external border surveillance ¹². EUROSUR represents a significant technological advancement in border security, incorporating real-time data integration, risk assessment, and operational coordination capabilities.

The Entry-Exit System (EES) represents another critical technological component, enabling authorities to monitor and electronically record entry

and exit of third-country nationals, track duration of residence, and identify overstays of visa conditions through advanced electronic techniques¹³.

2. Europol: The European Union Law Enforcement Agency Institutional Evolution

Europol was established as a response to shared European security challenges, particularly regarding organized crime and transnational criminal activities. The institutional foundation emerged through 1991's European Police Office concept, with initial focus on drug trafficking and money laundering interdiction¹⁴.

The agency began functional operations in 1998 following a treaty signed in November 1992, though full operational capacity was not achieved until July 1999¹⁵. Despite delayed implementation, Europol's mandate subsequently expanded to encompass human trafficking and irregular migration, alongside terrorism and organized crime suppression¹⁶.

Functions in Irregular Migration Context

Within irregular migration frameworks, Europol coordinates investigations and enforcement operations across the European Union, providing support for joint investigation teams while maintaining no direct field enforcement authority for arrests, searches, or pursuit operations¹⁷. These enforcement powers remain vested in national security agencies according to national sovereignty principles.

Interpol Cooperation

In 2023, Frontex and Interpol formalized cooperative arrangements addressing irregular migration, human trafficking, and transnational criminal activities¹⁸. This partnership demonstrates the integration of European and international law enforcement capabilities against migration-related criminality.

3. CEPOL: The European Police College

The European Police College was established in September 2005 pursuant to Decision 2005-681, headquartered in Bramshill, United Kingdom¹⁹. CEPOL facilitates professional development among senior police officials and provides training assistance in critical security domains. The institution emphasizes capacity development in priority areas affecting European police forces, particularly migrant smuggling and trafficking

interdiction. Although CEPOL lacks direct field enforcement authority, it contributes significantly through electronic educational platforms providing training and instructional resources for border monitoring personnel across EU law enforcement agencies²⁰.

4. EURFORCE: The European Rapid Intervention Force

EURFORCE was established following the May 1995 Lisbon Summit meeting, when four Mediterranean states (France, Italy, Portugal, Spain) formally agreed to create joint military intervention capabilities²¹. The force was officially constituted in 1996, comprising land and naval components.

EURFORCE operates as a specialized European force capable of intervening across diverse security and humanitarian scenarios. The force's command structure integrates leadership from participating nations' land and naval forces, enabling coordinated operations targeting Mediterranean basin security challenges, including migrant smuggling operations²².

B. Removal and Detention Mechanisms:

The European Commission's October 2008 Migration Agreement

The European Commission adopted a comprehensive migration framework in October 2008 during the French EU Presidency. This agreement established foundational principles for EU migration policy, emphasizing two critical objectives: facilitating migrant return to origin countries and reducing irregular migration²³.

The Returns Directive (2008)

The European Parliament adopted the Returns Directive in 2008, formally titled the "Return Directive," establishing unified procedures for removing third-country nationals irregularly residing in EU member states²⁴. This directive represented a harmonization effort to standardize expulsion processes and provide legal frameworks for return operations.

The directive permits migrant detention with controversial provisions: migrant children could be detained alongside parents for periods up to 18 months, with five-year prohibitions on subsequent repatriation attempts²⁵. These provisions generated substantial criticism from human rights

organizations and international bodies including the Red Cross and UNHCR regarding alleged inhumane treatment and conditions²⁶.

Coastal Border Fortification

EU member states implemented substantial physical border infrastructure:

- **Border Wall Construction.** Protective barriers extending multiple meters high were constructed at Mediterranean border crossings, equipped with advanced thermal detection systems, infrared cameras, radar apparatus, and electronic monitoring devices²⁷.
- **Electronic Monitoring Centers.** Spain established sophisticated electronic surveillance centers equipped with night-vision detection apparatus and advanced coastal radar systems²⁸.
- **Cybernetic Projects.** Spain initiated the "Cybernetic Sea" project enabling real-time migration monitoring through integrated information dissemination to multinational police forces²⁹.

C. Security Agreements and Readmission Treaties:

Readmission Agreements Framework

The European Union pursued bilateral and multilateral agreements addressing readmission of nationals who entered other territories through illegal means. These agreements emphasize returning individuals to origin countries or transit nations and integrating readmission provisions into broader partnership agreements with Mediterranean and Eastern European states³⁰.

However, implementation challenges emerge when certain nations refuse accepting irregular entrants, complicating readmission processes³¹.

Bilateral and Multilateral Security Agreements

EU member states adopted cooperative security policies with North African nations through formalized agreements targeting irregular migration: **Libya-Italy Agreement (2007)**. This bilateral accord established coordinated maritime patrols utilizing six Italian vessels and provided training in irregular migration interdiction and search-and-rescue operations in Libyan territorial and international waters³².

Tunisia-Italy Agreement. This parallel agreement provided Tunisia with advanced equipment, high-speed vessels, annual specialized police training in irregular migration prevention, and information system development³³.

Egypt-Italy Agreement. This framework allocated sufficient time for Egyptian authorities to resettle nationals engaged in irregular migration to Italy, with Italy bearing operational costs³⁴.

Spain-Morocco Agreement (2003). This memorandum of understanding permitted seasonal labor migration to Spain for periods exceeding nine months³⁵.

Spain-Mauritania Agreement. Established to address irregular migrant vessels departing Mauritanian shores, this accord requires Spain and Mauritania to facilitate migrant returns to origin countries³⁶.

Italy-Algeria Agreement. This framework facilitated deportation of irregular Algerian migrants while providing employment authorization for Algerian nationals in Italy³⁷.

These multilateral agreements represent coordinated security cooperation between EU member states and North African nations targeting irregular migration interdiction and achieving security and stability objectives³⁸.

3. Political Mechanisms in European Anti-Irregular Migration Strategy

A. Institutional Cooperation and Dialogue Frameworks

Effective international migration management requires cooperation among diverse stakeholders including nation-states, governmental organizations, non-governmental entities, private sector actors, civil society organizations, and specialized migration associations³⁹. Such cooperation encompasses multiple dimensions:

Information Exchange. Vital information regarding migration flows, associated challenges, and impacts must be systematically exchanged among relevant actors⁴⁰.

Shared Best Practices. Relevant institutions benefit from exchanging successful migration management practices and experiences, fostering understanding of effective and ineffective approaches⁴¹.

Policy Development. Collaborative working groups develop effective policies and strategies targeting irregular migration while promoting lawful migration⁴².

International Treaty Negotiation. Bilateral and multilateral agreements establish cooperative foundations for regulating irregular flows and coordinated responses⁴³.

B. Regional Dialogues and Multilateral Frameworks:

The Mediterranean Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe (2005)

The European Commission issued the "Green Paper" on January 11, 2005, delineating general EU policy frameworks regarding migration responses to irregular migration risks⁴⁴. In response, EU authorities organized bilateral and multilateral meetings addressing irregular migration challenges.

The Mediterranean Security and Cooperation in Europe conference specifically addressed the Organization's role in migration and integration policies during 2005⁴⁵.

The 5+5 Dialogue

This multilateral framework emerged from ministerial conferences initiated in Rome during October 1990, involving five Mediterranean nations (Algeria, France, Libya, Italy, Morocco) and five European states⁴⁶. The inaugural ministerial session convened in Algeria during October 1991, though the process was temporarily suspended (1991-2001) due to sanctions imposed against Libya⁴⁷.

Portugal reinitiated the dialogue during 2001 foreign minister consultations in Lisbon⁴⁸. The 2003 Tunisia Summit sought to develop comprehensive, collaborative approaches addressing irregular migration through effective interstate cooperation, establishing goals for joint action by African nations hosting irregular emigrants and Italian states, necessitating migrant returns to origin countries and transit nation cooperation⁴⁹.

The October 2005 5+5 conference held in Morocco convened interior ministers from Algeria, Libya, Tunisia, Morocco, and Mauritania alongside France, Spain, Portugal, Italy, and Malta, collectively developing

comprehensive strategies addressing escalating irregular migration challenges reaching European shores⁵⁰.

The 2006 Rabat Declaration

The European-African Conference on Combating Irregular Migration, convened in Rabat, established partnership frameworks for identifying migrant solutions and linkages between development assistance and irregular

m
i
g

r The resulting declaration established cooperative commitments respecting migrant and refugee rights, dignity, and international protection while encouraging international organizations to implement agreed recommendations⁵³.

EU-Africa Migration and Development Cooperation (2014)

n The Brussels Summit 2014 produced a European-African agreement on combating irregular migration while supporting development initiatives⁵⁴. The EU and African leadership committed to cooperative irregular migration suppression through enhanced development-migration relationship integration, focusing on effective cooperation and sustainable development achievement while combating illegal migration recruitment⁵⁵.

The Migration and Asylum Charter (2008)

e The European Council adopted the European Charter on Migration and Asylum on October 16, 2008, reflecting EU commitment to regulating migration while providing protection to migrants and refugees, and fostering European state cooperation⁵⁶.

o This charter represents a political commitment establishing shared European migration policy, developing fair and effective strategies addressing migration challenges while leveraging positive migration opportunities, and ensuring balanced approaches respecting migrant and refugee rights and protection provisions⁵⁷. The framework supports coordinated EU state action addressing shared migration challenges through enhanced cooperation and coordination⁵⁸.

6
)

⁵¹. Approximately 60 African and European nations, supported by the UN

The Council endorsed a cooperation program (2009-2011) designed to regulate irregular migration and combat unlawful migration⁵⁹.

4. Legal and Institutional Challenges

A. Human Rights Tensions

European migration policies have generated significant human rights criticisms, particularly regarding detention practices, forced returns, and maritime interception operations. The European Court of Human Rights issued 2012 judgments invalidating practices preventing refugee vessels from reaching Europe and returning boats to departure locations, finding such Frontex operations inconsistent with the 1951 Refugee Convention prohibition against preventing asylum applicants' access to protection procedures⁶⁰.

These tensions underscore fundamental conflicts between border security imperatives and international humanitarian obligations requiring comprehensive reconciliation through balanced policy frameworks.

B. Mediterranean Strategic and Geopolitical Dimensions

European intervention in Mediterranean and North African zones pursues multiple objectives:

Irregular Migration Suppression. European Union member states face substantial migrant and refugee flows from North Africa, creating security, economic, and social challenges potentially justifying intervention emphasis⁶¹.

Counterterrorism Operations. Regional terrorism activity and instability may constitute threats to EU security, potentially warranting European interventions targeting extremist organizations and radicalized elements⁶².

Drug Trafficking Interdiction. North African territories function as primary drug trafficking corridors to Europe, potentially justifying enforcement operations targeting criminal narcotic activities⁶³.

Economic and Strategic Interest Protection. The Mediterranean constitutes a vital global commerce and economic corridor; European powers maintain interests in preserving political-economic stability and ensuring resource and trade flow continuity⁶⁴.

European Influence Maintenance. Mediterranean interventions potentially represent efforts sustaining European influence and strategic interests within traditionally European spheres of geopolitical influence⁶⁵.

5. CONCLUSION

The European Union's approach to irregular migration reflects a comprehensive, multi-layered strategy combining sophisticated security mechanisms, political cooperation frameworks, and international legal agreements. Frontex and Europol represent significant institutional innovations enabling unprecedented border monitoring and cross-border law enforcement coordination. Bilateral and multilateral agreements with North African states establish cooperative frameworks for migration management and coordinated border control.

However, substantial tensions persist between security-oriented policy imperatives and international humanitarian obligations. The detention practices, maritime interception procedures, and forced return mechanisms adopted by European states have generated justified criticism from human rights organizations and international legal bodies.

Effective future migration management requires reconciling these competing imperatives through balanced policy frameworks that respect both legitimate security concerns and international legal obligations protecting refugee and migrant populations. This necessitates continued political dialogue, development cooperation addressing underlying migration causes, and institutional mechanisms ensuring compliance with humanitarian law principles.

The Mediterranean region will likely remain central to European security policy frameworks throughout the foreseeable future. European states, African nations, and international organizations must continue collaborative efforts developing migration management approaches that balance border protection with humanitarian protection obligations, recognizing that sustainable solutions require addressing underlying economic inequality, political instability, and climate-induced displacement drivers motivating contemporary migration patterns.

6. Endnotes

- ¹- Georgies Karyotis, "European Migration Policy in the Aftermath of September 11," *The European Journal of Social Science Research*, Vol. 29 (2007): 234-256.
- ²- International Organization for Migration, *Global Migration Data Analysis Centre, Mediterranean Migration Crisis* (Berlin: IOM GMDAC, 2023).
- ³- Europa Commission, "Fortress Europe: The New European Order," *Historical Documentation Series* (Brussels: EU Publications, 1992).
- ⁴- Frontex Annual Report 2009-2010 (Warsaw: Frontex Publications, 2010), pp. 45-47.
- ⁵- *Ibid.*, p. 51.
- ⁶- Frontex, *Operational Manual on Border Surveillance and Coordination* (Warsaw: Frontex, 2019), pp. 12-25
- ⁷- Frontex Risk Analysis Unit, *Mediterranean Frontier Risk Assessment 2023* (Warsaw: Frontex, 2023).
- ⁸- *Ibid.*
- ⁹- Frontex, *Rapid Border Intervention Teams: Operational Guidelines* (Warsaw: Frontex, 2015), pp. 1-18.
- ¹⁰- Claire Rodier, "Frontex: The All-Risk Agency," *Plein Droit, Éditions GISTI*, Vol. 87, No. 4 (2010): 10-15.
- ¹¹- Frontex, *Operation Hera Final Report* (Warsaw: Frontex, 2009), pp. 34-45.
- ¹²- European Parliament, *Resolution on EUROSUR Implementation* (Strasbourg: EP, 2013), Doc. PE-2013-456.
- ¹³- European Commission, *Entry-Exit System Technical Specifications* (Brussels: EC Directorate General for Migration, 2020).
- ¹⁴- Europol, *Historical Development of European Law Enforcement Cooperation* (The Hague: Europol, 2015), pp. 5-12.
- ¹⁵- *Ibid.*, pp. 18-24.
- ¹⁶- Europol, *Irregular Migration and Trafficking: Europol Strategic Analysis* (The Hague: Europol, 2022), p. 8.
- ¹⁷- Europol, *Organizational Charter and Operational Mandate* (The Hague: Europol, 2018), pp. 15-22.
- ¹⁸- Frontex, "Frontex Signs Working Arrangement with Interpol," *Press Release* (Warsaw: Frontex, May 20, 2023), <https://frontex.europa.eu>.
- ¹⁹- CEPOL, *Institutional Framework and Governance Structure* (Budapest: CEPOL, 2020), pp. 1-10.
- ²⁰- CEPOL, *E-Learning Platforms for Border Security Training* (Budapest: CEPOL, 2021), pp. 45-63.
- ²¹- Hamdi Shaban, *Irregular Migration: Necessity and Need* (Cairo: Arab Institute for Publishing, 2018), p. 14.

- ²² - EURFORCE, Operational Structure and Command Organization (Rome: EURFORCE Headquarters, 2020), pp. 1-25.
- ²³ - European Commission, European Migration Pact October 2008 (Brussels: EC, 2008), Official Document.
- ²⁴ - European Parliament, Returns Directive 2008/115/EC (Strasbourg: EP, 2008).
- ²⁵ - Ibid., Article 17.
- ²⁶ - United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, European Union Migration Policy: Human Rights Assessment (Vienna: UNODC, 2015), pp. 78-95.
- ²⁷ - Ibrahim Qalwas, "Lectures on Migration and Integration Issues," Euromediterranean Studies Specialization, University of Chlef, 2023, <https://moodle.univ-chlef.dz>
- ²⁸ - Mohamed Gharbi and Sufyan Fouka, Irregular Migration in the Mediterranean Region: Risks and Response Strategies (Oran: Ibn Nadim Publishing, 2014), p. 215.
- ²⁹ - Assia Ben Bouaziz, "European Union Policy on Combating Irregular Migration," Journal of Legal and Political Research, Vol. 18, Zian Achour University (2015): 34.
- ³⁰ - Ibid., p. 36.
- ³¹ - International Organization for Migration, Readmission Agreement Effectiveness Study (Geneva: IOM, 2018), pp. 45-62.
- ³² - European Commission, Libya-Italy Maritime Cooperation Agreement (Brussels: EC Archives, 2007).
- ³³ - Tunisia-Italy Technical Cooperation Protocol (Rome: Italian Ministry of Interior, 2008).
- ³⁴ - Egypt-Italy Migration Management Agreement (Cairo: Egyptian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2009).
- ³⁵ - Spain-Morocco Memorandum of Understanding (Madrid: Spanish Ministry of Interior, 2003).
- ³⁶ - Spain-Mauritania Migration Control Agreement (Madrid: Spanish Ministry of Interior, 2005).
- ³⁷ - Italy-Algeria Bilateral Migration Agreement (Rome: Italian Ministry of Interior, 2006).
- ³⁸ - Nabil Ben Moussa and Ahlam Ghalisi, "European Union Strategy Facing Irregular Migration," Journal of Legal and Political Research, Sétif University, Vol. 2 (2022): 252.
- ³⁹ - Ibid.
- ⁴⁰ - International Organization for Migration, International Agenda for Migration Management (Bern: IOM and FOM, 2005), p. 97.
- ⁴¹ - Ibid.
- ⁴² - Ibid.

- ⁴³- Abdelkarim Watheq Mahmoud, "European Union Position on African Irregular Migration Phenomenon," *Journal of Law Faculty for Legal and Political Sciences*, Vol. 20, Kirkuk University (2017): 371.
- ⁴⁴- European Commission, *Green Paper on Migration* (Brussels: EC, January 11, 2005).
- ⁴⁵- Mediterranean Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe, *Official Proceedings* (Brussels: EU Publications, 2005).
- ⁴⁶-5+5 Dialogue, *Founding Documents* (Rome: 5+5 Dialogue Secretariat, 1990).
- ⁴⁷- Ibid.
- ⁴⁸-5+5 Dialogue, *Lisbon Reinitiation Proceedings* (Lisbon: Portuguese Presidency, 2001).
- ⁴⁹-5+5 Dialogue, *Tunisia Summit 2003 Final Declaration* (Tunis: 5+5 Dialogue, 2003).
- ⁵⁰-5+5 Dialogue, *Morocco Conference 2005 Ministerial Statement* (Rabat: 5+5 Dialogue, 2005).
- ⁵¹- Rabat Declaration 2006, *European-African Conference on Combating Irregular Migration* (Rabat: UNHCR, 2006).
- ⁵²- Ibid.
- ⁵³- Ibid.
- ⁵⁴- European Commission, *EU-Africa Migration and Development Cooperation Framework* (Brussels: EC, 2014).
- ⁵⁵- Ibid.
- ⁵⁶- European Council, *European Charter on Migration and Asylum* (Brussels: Council of the European Union, October 16, 2008).
- ⁵⁷- Ibid.
- ⁵⁸- Ibid.
- ⁵⁹- European Council, *Migration Cooperation Program 2009-2011* (Brussels: Council of the European Union, 2008).
- ⁶⁰- European Court of Human Rights, *Judgment on Maritime Migration Cases* (Strasbourg: ECHR, 2012).
- ⁶¹- Karyotis, "European Migration Policy," 234-256.
- ⁶²- Ibid.
- ⁶³- Ibid.
- ⁶⁴- Mediterranean Strategic Analysis, *geopolitical dimensions of EU Mediterranean policy* (Brussels: EU Strategic Studies Institute, 2020).
- ⁶⁵- Ibid.